



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Liqing Liu**Serial Number:** 09/923,368**Filing Date:** August 8, 2001**Title:** Use of aluminum in perforating and stimulating a subterranean formation and other engineering applications**Examiner:** Peter A. Nelson**RECEIVED****Art Unit:** 3641

AUG 25 2006

~~OFFICE OF PETITIONS~~STATEMENT OF DR. LIOQING LIU

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) and in support of his Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned Unintentionally, the undersigned hereby makes the following written statement:

1. My name is Dr. Liqing Liu. I am over the age of twenty-one and fully competent to make the following statement and have firsthand knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
2. I am the Applicant for the above-identified application and make the following statement in support of my Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned Unintentionally.
3. I am a Chinese National that is currently living and working in Calgary, Canada.
4. I contacted a patent attorney named Thomas Malyszko in early June 2001 to file a U.S. patent application for my invention.
5. I had several meetings with Mr. Malyszko over the following two or three months regarding my invention. Communications with Mr. Malyszko were difficult because English is not my first language.
6. On August 15, 2001, I traveled from Canada to Beijing, China for a business trip, and returned on September 28, 2001.
7. I left Canada on July 28, 2002 for a business trip to Germany, returning on February 2, 2003.
8. I did not receive any information from Mr. Malyszko at any time after my business trip to China in August, 2001.

Docket No. AGEOD.0105

Patent

9. In May of 2003, my father had a stroke, leaving half of his body paralyzed. The following months were very difficult times for me and my family.

10. In August 2005, I learned that my patent application had been abandoned.

11. Soon after I learned my Application was abandoned, I contacted a different attorney about reviving it.

12. The failure to respond to the Office Action mailed September 30, 2003, was unintentional given that I did not receive the correspondence sent by the USPTO nor did I have actual notice that such a response was necessary.

13. The entire delay between the time the Office Action response was due and the time the Petition to Revive was filed was completely unintentional on my part.

14. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made herein on information and belief are believed to be true. Further, I made these statements with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. § 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any registration issuing thereon.

Date: December 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Dr. Liqing Liu

